Transliteration is defined as phonetic translation of names across languages. Transliteration of Named Entities (NEs) is necessary in many applications, such as machine translation, corpus alignment, cross-language IR, information extraction and automatic lexicon acquisition. All such systems call for high-performance transliteration, which is the focus of shared task in the NEWS 2011 workshop. The objective of the shared task is to promote machine transliteration research by providing a common benchmarking platform for the community to evaluate the state-of-the-art technologies.

1 Task Description

The task is to develop machine transliteration system in one or more of the specified language pairs being considered for the task. Each language pair consists of a source and a target language. The training and development data sets released for each language pair are to be used for developing a transliteration system in whatever way that the participants find appropriate. At the evaluation time, a test set of source names only would be released, on which the participants are expected to produce a ranked list of transliteration candidates in another language (i.e. n-best transliterations), and this will be evaluated using common metrics. For every language pair the participants must submit at least one run that uses only the data provided by the NEWS workshop organisers in a given language pair (designated as “standard” run, primary submission). Users may submit more “standard” runs. They may also submit several “non-standard” runs for each language pair that use other data than those provided by the NEWS 2011 workshop; such runs would be evaluated and reported separately.

2 Important Dates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research paper submission deadline</td>
<td>6 July 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared task Registration opens</td>
<td>1 April 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration closes</td>
<td>31 May 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training/Development data release</td>
<td>20 April 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test data release</td>
<td>13 June 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results Submission Due</td>
<td>20 June 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results Announcement</td>
<td>30 June 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task (short) Papers Due</td>
<td>6 July 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance Notification</td>
<td>6 Aug 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera-Ready Copy Deadline</td>
<td>19 Aug 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Date</td>
<td>12 Nov 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Participation

1. Registration (1 April 2011)

   (a) NEWS Shared Task opens for registration.

   (b) Prospective participants are to register to the NEWS Workshop homepage.

2. Training & Development Data (20 April 2011)

   (a) Registered participants are to obtain training and development data from the Shared Task organiser and/or the designated copyright owners of databases.

   (b) All registered participants are required to participate in the evaluation of at least one language pair, submit the results and a short paper and attend the workshop at IJCNLP 2011.

3. Evaluation Script (20 April 2011)
(a) A sample test set and expected user output format are to be released.

(b) An evaluation script, which runs on the above two, is to be released.

(c) The participants must make sure that their output is produced in a way that the evaluation script may run and produce the expected output.

(d) The same script (with held out test data and the user outputs) would be used for final evaluation.

4. Test data (13 June 2011)

(a) The test data would be released on 13 June 2011, and the participants have a maximum of 7 days to submit their results in the expected format.

(b) One “standard” run must be submitted from every group on a given language pair. Additional “standard” runs may be submitted, up to 4 “standard” runs in total. However, the participants must indicate one of the submitted “standard” runs as the “primary submission”. The primary submission will be used for the performance summary. In addition to the “standard” runs, more “non-standard” runs may be submitted. In total, maximum 8 runs (up to 4 “standard” runs plus up to 4 “non-standard” runs) can be submitted from each group on a registered language pair. The definition of “standard” and “non-standard” runs is in Section 5.

(c) Any runs that are “non-standard” must be tagged as such.

(d) The test set is a list of names in source language only. Every group will produce and submit a ranked list of transliteration candidates in another language for each given name in the test set. Please note that this shared task is a “transliteration generation” task, i.e., given a name in a source language one is supposed to generate one or more transliterations in a target language. It is not the task of “transliteration discovery”, i.e., given a name in the source language and a set of names in the target language evaluate how to find the appropriate names from the target set that are transliterations of the given source name.

5. Results (30 June 2011)

(a) On 30 June 2011, the evaluation results would be announced and will be made available on the Workshop website.

(b) Note that only the scores (in respective metrics) of the participating systems on each language pairs would be published, and no explicit ranking of the participating systems would be published.

(c) Note that this is a shared evaluation task and not a competition; the results are meant to be used to evaluate systems on common data set with common metrics, and not to rank the participating systems. While the participants can cite the performance of their systems (scores on metrics) from the workshop report, they should not use any ranking information in their publications.

(d) Furthermore, all participants should agree not to reveal identities of other participants in any of their publications unless you get permission from the other respective participants. By default, all participants remain anonymous in published results, unless they indicate otherwise at the time of uploading their results. Note that the results of all systems will be published, but the identities of those participants that choose not to disclose their identity to other participants will be masked. As a result, in this case, your organisation name will still appear in the web site as one of participants, but it will not be linked explicitly to your results.

6. Short Papers on Task (6 July 2011)

(a) Each submitting site is required to submit a 4-page system paper (short paper) for its submissions, including their approach, data used and the results on either test set or development set or by n-fold cross validation on training set.

(b) The review of the system papers will be done to improve paper quality and readability and make sure the authors’ ideas and methods can be understood by the
workshop participants. We are aiming at accepting all system papers, and selected ones will be presented orally in the NEWS 2011 workshop.

(c) All registered participants are required to register and attend the workshop to introduce your work.

(d) All paper submission and review will be managed electronically through https://www.softconf.com/ijcnlp2011/NEWS.

4 Language Pairs

The tasks are to transliterate personal names or place names from a source to a target language as summarised in Table 1. NEWS 2011 Shared Task offers 14 evaluation subtasks, among them ChEn and ThEn are the back-transliteration of EnCh and EnTh tasks respectively. NEWS 2011 releases training, development and testing data for each of the language pairs. NEWS 2011 continues some language pairs that were evaluated in NEWS 2010. In such cases, the training and development data in the release of NEWS 2011 may overlap with those in NEWS 2010. However, the test data in NEWS 2011 are entirely new.

The names given in the training sets for Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Thai and Persian languages are Western names and their respective transliterations; the Japanese Name (in English) → Japanese Kanji data set consists only of native Japanese names; the Arabic data set consists only of native Arabic names. The Indic data set (Hindi, Tamil, Kannada, Bangla) consists of a mix of Indian and Western names.

Examples of transliteration:

English → Chinese
Timothy → 蒂莫西

English → Japanese Katakana
Harrington → ハリントン

English → Korean Hangul
Bennett → 베넷

Japanese name in English → Japanese Kanji
Akihiro → 秋宏

English → Hindi
San Francisco → सैन फ्रांसिस्को

English → Tamil
London → லவன்

English → Kannada
Tokyo → ತೊಂಬಿ

Arabic → Arabic name in English
خالد → Khalid

5 Standard Databases

Training Data (Parallel)
Paired names between source and target languages; size 5K – 32K.
Training Data is used for training a basic transliteration system.

Development Data (Parallel)
Paired names between source and target languages; size 2K – 6K.
Development Data is in addition to the Training data, which is used for system fine-tuning of parameters in case of need. Participants are allowed to use it as part of training data.

Testing Data
Source names only; size 2K – 3K.
This is a held-out set, which would be used for evaluating the quality of the transliterations.

1. Participants will need to obtain licenses from the respective copyright owners and/or agree to the terms and conditions of use that are given on the downloading website (Li et al., 2004; MSRI, 2010; CJKI, 2010). NEWS 2011 will provide the contact details of each individual database. The data would be provided in Unicode UTF-8 encoding, in XML format; the results are expected to be submitted in UTF-8 encoding in XML format. The XML formats details are available in Appendix A.

2. The data are provided in 3 sets as described above.

3. Name pairs are distributed as-is, as provided by the respective creators.

(a) While the databases are mostly manually checked, there may be still inconsistency (that is, non-standard usage, region-specific usage, errors, etc.) or incompleteness (that is, not all right variations may be covered).

(b) The participants may use any method to further clean up the data provided.
Table 1: Source and target languages for the shared task on transliteration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source script</th>
<th>Target script</th>
<th>Data Owner</th>
<th>Data Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western English</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Institute for Infocomm Research</td>
<td>37K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Chinese</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Institute for Infocomm Research</td>
<td>28K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western English</td>
<td>Korean Hangul</td>
<td>CJK Institute</td>
<td>7K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western English</td>
<td>Japanese Katakana</td>
<td>CJK Institute</td>
<td>26K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese English</td>
<td>Japanese Kanji</td>
<td>CJK Institute</td>
<td>10K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>CJK Institute</td>
<td>27K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>Microsoft Research India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Tamil</td>
<td>Microsoft Research India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Kannada</td>
<td>Microsoft Research India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Bangla</td>
<td>Microsoft Research India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western English</td>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>NECTEC</td>
<td>27K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Thai</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>NECTEC</td>
<td>25K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western English</td>
<td>Persian</td>
<td>Sarvnaz Karimi / RMIT</td>
<td>10K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western English</td>
<td>Hebrew</td>
<td>Microsoft Research India</td>
<td>9.5K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i. If they are cleaned up manually, we appeal that such data be provided back to the organisers for redistribution to all the participating groups in that language pair; such sharing benefits all participants, and further ensures that the evaluation provides normalisation with respect to data quality.

ii. If automatic cleanup were used, such cleanup would be considered a part of the system fielded, and hence not required to be shared with all participants.

4. **Standard Runs** We expect that the participants to use only the data (parallel names) provided by the Shared Task for transliteration task for a “standard” run to ensure a fair evaluation. One such run (using only the data provided by the shared task) is mandatory for all participants for a given language pair that they participate in.

5. **Non-standard Runs** If more data (either parallel names data or monolingual data) were used, then all such runs using extra data must be marked as “non-standard”. For such “non-standard” runs, it is required to disclose the size and characteristics of the data used in the system paper.

6. A participant may submit a maximum of 8 runs for a given language pair (including the mandatory 1 “standard” run marked as “primary submission”).

6 **Paper Format**

Paper submissions to NEWS 2011 should follow the IJCNLP 2011 paper submission policy, including paper format, blind review policy and title and author format convention. Full papers (research paper) are in two-column format without exceeding eight (8) pages of content plus two (2) extra page for references and short papers (task paper) are also in two-column format without exceeding four (4) pages content plus two (2) extra page for references. Submission must conform to the official IJCNLP 2011 style guidelines. For details, please refer to the IJCNLP 2011 website².

7 **Evaluation Metrics**

We plan to measure the quality of the transliteration task using the following 4 metrics. We accept up to 10 output candidates in a ranked list for each input entry.

Since a given source name may have multiple correct target transliterations, all these alternatives are treated equally in the evaluation. That is, any of these alternatives are considered as a correct transliteration, and the first correct transliteration in the ranked list is accepted as a correct hit.

The following notation is further assumed:

²http://www.ijcnlp2011.org/
The length is computed in distinct Unicode characters. No distinction is made on different character types of a language (e.g., vowel vs. consonants vs. combining diacriticals etc.)

1. Word Accuracy in Top-1 (ACC) Also known as Word Error Rate, it measures correctness of the first transliteration candidate in the candidate list produced by a transliteration system.

\[ ACC = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ 1 \text{ if } \exists r_{i,j} : r_{i,j} = c_{i,1}; 0 \text{ otherwise} \right\} \] (1)

2. Fuzziness in Top-1 (Mean F-score) The mean F-score measures how different, on average, the top transliteration candidate is from its closest reference. F-score for each source word is a function of Precision and Recall and equals 1 when the top candidate matches one of the references, and ACC = 0 means that none of the top candidates are correct.

\[ LCS(c, r) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |c| + |r| - ED(c, r) \right) \] (2)

where \( ED \) is the edit distance and \( |x| \) is the length of \( x \). For example, the longest common subsequence between “abcd” and “afcde” is “acd” and its length is 3. The best matching reference, that is, the reference for which the edit distance has the minimum, is taken for calculation. If the best matching reference is given by

\[ r_{i,m} = \arg \min_j (ED(c_{i,1}, r_{i,j})) \] (3)

then Recall, Precision and F-score for \( i \)-th word are calculated as

\[ R_i = \frac{LCS(c_{i,1}, r_{i,m})}{|r_{i,m}|} \] (4)

\[ P_i = \frac{LCS(c_{i,1}, r_{i,m})}{|c_{i,1}|} \] (5)

\[ F_i = \frac{2R_i \times P_i}{R_i + P_i} \] (6)

- The length is computed in distinct Unicode characters.
- No distinction is made on different character types of a language (e.g., vowel vs. consonants vs. combining diacriticals etc.)

3. Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR) Measures traditional MRR for any right answer produced by the system, from among the candidates. \( 1/MRR \) tells approximately the average rank of the correct transliteration. MRR closer to 1 implies that the correct answer is mostly produced close to the top of the n-best lists.

\[ RR_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \min_j \frac{1}{j} & \text{if } \exists r_{i,j}, c_{i,k} : r_{i,j} = c_{i,k}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right\} \] (7)

\[ MRR = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} RR_i \] (8)

4. Map ref Measures tightly the precision in the n-best candidates for \( i \)-th source name, for which reference transliterations are available. If all of the references are produced, then the MAP is 1. Let’s denote the number of correct candidates for the \( i \)-th source word in \( k \)-best list as \( num(i, k) \). MAP ref is then given by

\[ MAP_{ref} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n_i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} num(i, k) \right) \] (9)

8 Contact Us

If you have any questions about this share task and the database, please email to

Mr. Ming Liu
Institute for Infocomm Research (I²R), A*STAR
1 Fusionopolis Way
#08-05 South Tower, Connexis
Singapore 138632
mliu@i2r.a-star.edu.sg
References


A Training/Development Data

- File Naming Conventions:
  NEWS11_train_XXYY_nnnn.xml
  NEWS11_dev_XXYY_nnnn.xml
  NEWS11_test_XXYY_nnnn.xml

  - XX: Source Language
  - YY: Target Language
  - nnnn: size of parallel/monolingual names (“25K”, “10000”, etc)

- File formats:
  All data will be made available in XML formats (Figure 1).

- Data Encoding Formats:
  The data will be in Unicode UTF-8 encoding files without byte-order mark, and in the XML format specified.

B Submission of Results

- File Naming Conventions:
  You can give your files any name you like. During submission online you will need to indicate whether this submission belongs to a “standard” or “non-standard” run, and if it is a “standard” run, whether it is the primary submission.

- File formats:
  All data will be made available in XML formats (Figure 2).

- Data Encoding Formats:
  The results are expected to be submitted in UTF-8 encoded files without byte-order mark only, and in the XML format specified.
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<TransliterationCorpus
    CorpusID = "NEWS2011-Train-EnHi-25K"
    SourceLang = "English"
    TargetLang = "Hindi"
    CorpusType = "Train|Dev"
    CorpusSize = "25000"
    CorpusFormat = "UTF8">
    <Name ID="1">
        <SourceName>eeeeee1</SourceName>
        <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh1_1</TargetName>
        <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh1_2</TargetName>
        ...
        <TargetName ID="n">hhhhhh1_n</TargetName>
    </Name>
    <Name ID="2">
        <SourceName>eeeeee2</SourceName>
        <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh2_1</TargetName>
        <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh2_2</TargetName>
        ...
        <TargetName ID="m">hhhhhh2_m</TargetName>
    </Name>
    ...
    <!-- rest of the names to follow -->
    ...
</TransliterationCorpus>

Figure 1: File: NEWS2011_Train_EnHi_25K.xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<TransliterationTaskResults
SourceLang = "English"
TargetLang = "Hindi"
GroupID = "Trans University"
RunID = "1"
RunType = "Standard"
Comments = "HMM Run with params: alpha=0.8 beta=1.25">
  <Name ID="1">
    <SourceName>eeeeee1</SourceName>
    <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh11</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh12</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="3">hhhhhh13</TargetName>
    ...
    <TargetName ID="10">hhhhhh110</TargetName>
  </Name>
  <!-- Participants to provide their top 10 candidate transliterations -->
  <Name ID="2">
    <SourceName>eeeeee2</SourceName>
    <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh21</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh22</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="3">hhhhhh23</TargetName>
    ...
    <TargetName ID="10">hhhhhh110</TargetName>
  </Name>
  <!-- Participants to provide their top 10 candidate transliterations -->
  ...
  <Name ID="3">
    <SourceName>eeeeee3</SourceName>
    <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh31</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh32</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="3">hhhhhh33</TargetName>
    ...
    <TargetName ID="10">hhhhhh110</TargetName>
  </Name>
  <!-- Participants to provide their top 10 candidate transliterations -->
  ...
  <Name ID="4">
    <SourceName>eeeeee4</SourceName>
    <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh41</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh42</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="3">hhhhhh43</TargetName>
    ...
    <TargetName ID="10">hhhhhh110</TargetName>
  </Name>
  <!-- Participants to provide their top 10 candidate transliterations -->
  ...
  <Name ID="5">
    <SourceName>eeeeee5</SourceName>
    <TargetName ID="1">hhhhhh51</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="2">hhhhhh52</TargetName>
    <TargetName ID="3">hhhhhh53</TargetName>
    ...
    <TargetName ID="10">hhhhhh110</TargetName>
  </Name>
  <!-- Participants to provide their top 10 candidate transliterations -->
  ...
  <!-- All names in test corpus to follow -->
</TransliterationTaskResults>

Figure 2: Example file: NEWS2011_EnHi_TUniv_01_SldRunHMMBased.xml